Advances in Nuclear Science and Technology

YOLUME 15

Edited by

Jeffery Lewins

Cambridge University Cambridge, England

and

Martin Becker

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Troy, New York

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 62-13039

ISBN-13: 978-1-4613-3759-1 e-ISBN-13: 978-1-4613-3757-7

DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4613-3757-7

© 1983 Plenum Press, New York Softcover reprint of the hardover 1st edition 1983

A Division of Plenum Publishing Corporation 233 Spring Street, New York, N.Y. 10013

All rights reserved

No part of this book may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording, or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher

CONTENTS

	ms for the Boltzmann Operator	
in Vario	ous Formulations · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	. 1
V. Protopopes	scu	
I.	Introduction	. 1
II.	The Role of Eigenvalues	• 3
III.	Various Forms of the Boltzmann Equation	
IV.	Isotropic Scattering	. 14
Υ.	Pseudomodes and True "Shadowed" Modes	. 23
VI.	Anisotropic Scattering	. 33
VII.	Conclusions	
	Acknowledgements	. 44
	References	. 45
A -A		• 55
	Abstract	. 55
I.	Introduction	
— -	Definitions	
		. 59
	Specific Cases	
٧.	Approximate Expressions for	
**	Neutron Lifetimes	. 71
VI.	Summary	
V 2.4	References	
	nererences	
Nonlinear Nuclear K. Saito	Stochastic Theory	. 79
	Abstract	. 79
I.	Introduction	
II.	Nonlinear Stochastic Phenomena in	
	Nuclear Reactors	. 83

viii	CONTENTS

III.	Theoretical Treatment of Nonlinear	
	Stochastic Effects	91
IV.	Concluding Remarks	116
	References	116
	Appendix I. Kummers's Confluent	
		125
	Appendix II. Nonlinear Transformation	
		126
Fusion Reactor De	velopment: A Review · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	129
Weston M. St	acey, Jr.	
	Abstract	129
I.	Introduction	129
II.	Tokamaks	
III.	Technology	155
IV.	Tokamak Reactor Studies	193
V.	Alternate Confinement Concepts	205
VI.	Commercialization Timetable	209
	References · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	211
Streaming in Latt		223
Ely M. Gelba	rd	
		000
I.		223
II.	Definition of Diffusion Coefficients .	227
III.	Monte Carlo Computation of Diffusion	TO CANTAI
	Coefficients	302
IV.	Testing of Homogenized Diffusion	
		347
	References	394
Contributors · ·		401
Index		403

plasma. The majority of experiments on larger tokamaks show a rather uniform Z $_{\hbox{\scriptsize eff}}$ distribution over the plasma crosssection. Impurities injected at the edge of the plasma were found in all cases to have inward velocities on the order of l cm/ms. Impurity particle confinement times appear to be of the same order as those of the primary plasma ions.

4. Suprathermal Ion Confinement. If suprathermal ions formed by ionization (or charge exchange) of high-energy neutral atoms injected into the plasma are born such that their "banana orbit" intersects the wall or limiter, they are lost immediately. While this was a problem in some of the smaller tokamaks in which early neutral beam injection experiments were performed, the fraction of injected particles so lost is small in present (PLT, T-10) tokamaks and will be negligible in future, larger tokamaks.

However, the loss of suprathermal ions during the slowing down process due to toroidal field ripple enhancement of radial transport is a more serious concern. The most stringent requirement for low ripple will arise from the need to confine ions produced by nearly perpendicular ($v_{\parallel} \simeq 0$) neutral beam injection, which is required for beam penetration with low beam energies. These fast ions are formed in the region of velocity space most susceptible to ripple loss, and for shallow penetration, into the spatial region with the highest ripple.

5. Suprathermal Alpha Particle Confinement. In future D-T tokamak plasmas, 3.5 Mev alpha particles will be created by fusion, preferentially near the center of the plasma with an isotropic velocity distribution. Neglecting field ripple effects, alpha confinement depends only upon the plasma current, aspect ratio and the radial fusion rate distribution. For plasma currents of 4 or 5 MA or more, only a few percent or more of the fast alpha particles will be lost because their orbit intercepts the limiter or wall. The toroidal field ripple effect should be relatively unimportant for alpha particles because most will have thermalized before they are scattered into the v = 0 region where they can be trapped.

Several mechanisms have been suggested for the loss of alpha particles due to microscopic fluctuations before they have deposited their energy in the plasma. The potentially most dangerous of these seems to involve \vec{E} x \vec{B} transport in the fluctuating electric fields arising from unstable shear

Alfven waves However, the plasma densit of approximat the magnitude

C. Stability

1. MHD a tokamak plas they involve in helical perturk high toroidal m with internal h number are know. perturbations w "kink" modes. 7 is known as the characteristics recent review (1 instabilities is of β = plasma pre turbulence that ϵ certain requireme

Theoreticall: modes at high β in requires low shear field surfaces) an bility is enhanced (D-shape). For the shape = D, plasma e sively studied and envisioned for toka rq / q < 0.5 leads $<\beta>$ \simeq 5-6% (18). cate that inclusion model may lead to 1c separates those magn within the closed vo that do not, and usua a diverter for impuri stantially higher lim (20).

It is not clear

A. STACY, JR.

amaks show crosslasma were e order of ar to be ons.

nermal ions energy th that t, they some of jection d particles d will be

the slowcement of st stringneed to 0) neutral ion with he region and for he highest

In future
created
sma with
ld ripple
sma curbution.
percent
sause their
field
lpha pary are
rapped.

loss of re they ntially port in e shear Alfven waves that are excited by the alpha particles (16). However, the magnitude of the effect decreases markedly with plasma density above about $10^{20}/\mathrm{m}^3$, and there are a number of approximations in the theory that tend to overestimate the magnitude of the effect.

C. Stability and Control

MHD Stability. The possible MHD instabilities in a tokamak plasma may be categorized according to whether they involve internal or surface perturbations. Internal helical perturbations (with a fixed plasma boundary) with high toroidal mode number (n) are known as "ballooning modes" with internal helical perturbations with low toroidal mode number are known as "low-n internal modes". Surface helical perturbations with low toroidal mode number are known as "kink" modes. The rigid body vertical displacement (n = 0)is known as the axisymmetric instability. The physical characteristics of these instabilities are discussed in a recent review (17). The practical consequences of these MHD instabilities is to set an upper limit on the allowable value of ß = plasma pressure/magnetic pressure, produce microscopic turbulence that enhances outward energy transport, and place certain requirements on the control coil system.

Theoretically, stability of high-n internal (ballooning) modes at high β in the presence of large pressure gradients requires low shear (change in pitch of the helical magnetic field surfaces) and the presence of a magnetic well. Stability is enhanced by plasma elongation and triangularity (D-shape). For the INTOR plasma (aspect ratio = 4, plasma shape = D, plasma elongation = 1.6), which has been extensively studied and which is typical of the plasmas that are envisioned for tokamak reactors, requiring the shear parameter rq / q' < 0.5 leads to upper limits of the volume averaged $<\beta>_{max} \simeq 5-6\%$ (18). However, preliminary calculations indicate that inclusion of a separatrix in the computational model may lead to lower beta limits (19) (a separatrix separates those magnetic field lines that remain entirely within the closed volume of the plasma chamber from those that do not, and usually is associated with the presence of a diverter for impurity control). On the other hand, substantially higher limits have been found in other studies (20).

It is not clear that ballooning modes actually limit

- 11. Stacey, W. M., Jr. and Sigmar, D. J., "Response of a Tokamak Plasma to Particle and Momentum Sources," Physics Fluids 22, Pages 2000-2008, 1979; and Stacey, W. M., Jr. and Sigmar, D. J., "Impurity Control by Neutral Beam Injection," Nuclear Fusion 19, Pages 1665-1673, 1979.
- 12. Parks, P. B., Burrell, K. H. and Wong, S. K., "Impurity Transport in Tokamak Plasmas Containing Momentum Sources," Nuclear Fusion 20, Pages 27-34, 1980.
- 13. Stringer, T. E., "Effect of the Magnetic Field Ripple on Diffusion in Tokamaks," Nuclear Fusion 12, Pages 689-694, 1972.
- 14. Davidson, J. N., "Effect of Toroidal Field Ripple on Particle and Energy Transport in a Tokamak," Nuclear Fusion 16, Pages 731-742, 1976.
- 15. Boozer, Allen H., "Enhanced Transport in Tokamaks Due to Toroidal Ripple," Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Technical Report PPPL-1619, 1980; and Goldston, R. J. and Towner, H. H., "Effects of Toroidal Field Ripple on Supra-Thermal Ions in Tokamak Plasmas," Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory Technical Report PPPL-1637, 1980.
- 16. Sigmar, D. J. and Chan, H. C., "Anomalous Alpha-Particle Transport in Thermonuclear Tokamak Plasma," Nuclear Fusion 18, Pages 1569-1581, 1978.
- 17. Wesson, J. A., "Hydromagnetic Stability of Tokamaks," Nuclear Fusion 18, Pages 87-132, 1978.
- 18. Engelmann, F., Kadomtsev, Momota, H., Rutherford, P. and Tazima, T., "Stability Control," in <u>International</u> <u>Tokamak Reactor. Zero Phase</u>, Chapter <u>VII</u>, Pages 219-236, <u>IAEA</u>, Vienna, 1980.
- 19. Sigmar, D. J., private communication.
- 20. Guest, G. E., "Beta Limits," presentation to the Fusion Review Committee of the Energy Research Advisory Board, Princeton, New Jersey, May 19, 1980.